



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

CR-3790-2025

Date of decision: 21.07.2025

**RAM KRISHAN VERMA (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRs**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**RAKESH KUMAR**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ishan Khetarpal, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN , J.**

1. The petitioner-tenant has preferred the present revision petition impugning the order dated 26.05.2025 passed by the learned Appellate Authority, Kaithal, whereby during the pendency of an appeal against the order by the Rent Controller, the Appellate Authority has directed the petitioner-tenant to pay *mesne* profits at the rate of Rs.10,000/- per month subject to outcome of the appeal.
2. Notice of motion was ordered to be issued by the Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 03.07.2025 subject to deposit of *mesne* profits at the rate of Rs.6,000/- per month by the petitioner.
3. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had paid a sum of Rs.1,56,000/-, which has been received by the respondent-



landlord. Copy of the order dated 04.07.2025 passed by the Additional District Judge, Kaithal has been submitted in the Court today, which is taken on record.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-landlord has submitted that without prejudice to the rights on merits, the respondent agrees if the petitioner continues paying a sum of Rs.6,000/- per month as *mesne* profits and the order dated 26.05.2025 passed by the Appellate Court be partly modified.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner is also in agreement to the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent.

6. In view of the above submissions made by both learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of.

7. The impugned order dated 26.05.2025 is modified to the extent that during the pendency of the appeal before the Appellate Authority, the petitioner-tenant shall continue paying Rs.6,000/- per month as *mesne* profits.

8. The ejection by the Rent Controller is on the basis of personal necessity, as such, the Appellate Authority shall expeditiously decide the appeal preferably within a period of 06 months.

9. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**21.07.2025**

P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No