

2025:PHHC:033962



S. No.110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**RSA No.4561 of 2018 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision:11.03.2025**

**Sardul Singh**

**.....Appellant**

**Vs.**

**Hardam Singh and another**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present:- None.

\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)**

Mr. Jasbir Rattan, Advocate, who was representing the appellant was reported to have expired on the last date of hearing and so notice to the appellant as well as to Mr. Manu Rattan, Advocate, who is informed to be son of Shri Jasbir Rattan, Advocate was directed to be issued.

2. Today, notice issued to the sole appellant is received back duly served. Even Mr. Manu Rattan, Advocate has been duly intimated. There is no representation.

3. Apart from above, perusal of the paper book would reveal that suit seeking a decree of permanent injunction filed by plaintiff-Sardul Singh was dismissed by the trial Court on 31.03.2016 and the appeal filed by him was dismissed by the First Appellate Court on 03.07.2017. Plaintiff had filed the present appeal against the concurrent findings of the Courts below. However, as noticed above, despite duly served, appellant is not coming forward so as to pursue the appeal.

4. In the circumstances, the present appeal is dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

**March 11, 2025**

**renu**

**( DEEPAK GUPTA )**

**JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No