



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

142

**CRWP-10727-2025  
Decided on : 01.10.2025**

Rajwinder Kaur and another . . . Petitioners

**Versus**

State of Punjab and others . . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

PRESENT: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate, for the petitioners.  
Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondent.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	<b>Petitioner No. 1</b>	<b>Petitioner No. 2</b>
<b>Name(s)</b>	<b>Rajwinder Kaur</b>	<b>Amrik Singh</b>
<b>Age (in years)</b>	<b>36 years</b>	<b>38 years</b>
<b>Date of marriage</b>	<b>24.09.2025</b>	
<b>Rite</b>	<b>Hindu rites</b>	
<b>Represented on</b>	<b>26.09.2025</b>	
<b>Submitted to</b>	<b>Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jalandhar.</b>	
<b>Family members arrayed as</b>	<b>Respondent No.4</b>	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who

are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 26.09.2025 (Annexure P-4), to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jalandhar, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, (Rural), Jalandhar to look into the representation dated 26.09.2025 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondent.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE**

**01.10.2025**

*R. Sharma*

*Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable: Yes/No*