

2025:PHHC:138252



CRM-M-55989-2025 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

CRM-M-55989-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.10.2025

SUKHMANDER SINGH @ MANDER

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Sukhwider Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate
for the complainant/respondent no. 2.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case arising out of FIR No. 107 dated 08.08.2025 under Sections 74, 75(3), 333 Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Gidderbaha, District Sri Muktsar Sahib. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.

Case was registered on complaint of one 'R' who stated that on 16.07.2025, her husband Nachhattar Singh and her son Jagdeep Singh were away to Sri Muktsar Sahib for work and she was alone in the house. At about 9.30 PM, when she was sweeping the house, Sukhmander Singh @ Mander Singh entered and made enquiry about her husband and son. When she



informed him that they were out, he started quarrelling with her, behaved inappropriately and did obscene acts. When she started weeping, he ran away, while abusing her. She further stated that Sukhmander Singh entered the house and tried to commit rape.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was the tenant in the house of complainant's husband and had been falsely implicated only with the intent to harass him. In fact, there was a money dispute between the parties and the FIR was got registered on that account. He further submits that after registration of the FIR, the matter had been compromised with the complainant, who had executed a compromise deed, on record as Annexure P-3, wherein complainant stated that she had no objection if the FIR was quashed.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1-State. Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 2-complainant and filed his power of attorney. Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 states that he has no objection, if the petition is allowed.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, the petition is allowed.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. Petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions

2025:PHHC:138252



CRM-M-55989-2025 (O&M)

-3-

as envisaged under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be deemed to be expression of an opinion by this Court on merits of the case.

OCTOBER 01, 2025.

Ajay Goswami

**(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*