

2025:PHHC:097131



224.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7815-2025

Date of decision: 31.07.2025

Rashpal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Central Bureau of Investigation and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sant Pal Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.1-CBI.

Ms. Vini Rana, Advocate, for the LRs of respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant third petition is for quashing of FIR No.RC0512016S0010, dated 05.07.2016, under Sections 420, 272, 273, 120-B, 171(f), 192, 193, 218, 220, 341, 342 of IPC and Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006, registered at Police Station CBI/SCB, Chandigarh, as well as all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 17.11.2022 and 10.01.2025 (Annexure P-7 to P-9) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 20.05.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on

01.07.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Special Judicial Magistrate, CBI, Punjab, Mohali, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the legal heirs of complainant have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties, in original, alongwith its report.

5. Reply filed on behalf of respondent-CBI in Court today, is taken on record.

6. Learned counsel for respondent-CBI too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

7. In view of the report of learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The

aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 31, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No