

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Arbitration Case No. 87 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.05.2014

Relio Quick India Pvt. Ltd. ..Petitioner

versus

M/s Haryana Tourism Mart & another ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL, CHIEF JUSTICE.

Present : Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SANJAY KISHAN KAUL C.J. (Oral)

CM No. 10854-CII of 2014

The petition has been taken up on board for hearing and thus the application has become infructuous. Accordingly, the application is disposed of.

CM No. 10855-CII of 2014

Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

CM No. 10856-CII of 2014

Leave is granted to place on record Annexures P-1 to P-5 and the application is allowed.

Arbitration Case No.87 of 2014

The petition is necessitated on account of failure of the respondents to appoint an Arbitrator or respond to the notice of the petitioner dated 30.04.2012 followed by notice dated 13.08.2013 addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Gurgaon.

Notice of motion.

Mr. H.S.Lalli, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. A complete set of paper book has been supplied to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he still does not have any objection if the Designated Authority appoints an Arbitrator but within a period of 15 days from today. This is agreed to by learned counsel for the respondents.

In view of the aforesaid, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Designated Authority to appoint an Arbitrator within a period of 15 days from today.

A copy of the order be given *dasti* to learned counsels for the parties under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

28.05.2014

'ravinder'

(SANJAY KISHAN KAUL)
CHIEF JUSTICE