



CRM-M-44762-2024

-1-

325 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44762-2024
Date of decision:21.01.2025

Ritik @ Ritik Kasana and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of UT and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ravikant Berwal, Advocate for
 Mr. G.S.Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh.

Ms. Gursimran Walia, Advocate for
Mr. B.S.Kundra, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.96 dated 29.07.2024 registered under Sections 190, 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 351(2), 333 of BNS (Section 117(2) BNS added later on) and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Sector 11 (West) Chandigarh on the basis of compromise.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2- against the petitioners.

3. On notice of motion, respondents No.2 and 3 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court /Illaqa Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties



CRM-M-44762-2024

-2-

with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of JMIC, Chandigarh along with the statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent Nos.2 and 3 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.96 dated 29.07.2024 and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

21.01.2025

sonia

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No