



210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COC-835-2025

Date of Decision: March 05, 2025

TASVIR SINGH AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Versus

VINAY KUMAR

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, DAG, Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that as per communication dated 05.03.2025 issued by the Office of Haryana Staff Selection Commission, name of the petitioners have been recommended for the post of 'Group-D(Peon)' and on further instructions he submits that the petitioners shall be made to join within a period of four weeks from today.

In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

Rule discharged.

However, in case the needful is not done within the aforementioned period, as per the undertaking, the petitioners would be at liberty to seek revival of the contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring/concerned Officer would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioners towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

05.03.2025

Tejwinder

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	Yes/No