



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5632-2025
Date of decision : 04.02.2025**

GURWINDER SINGH ALIAS MOTU ...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Arjun Veer Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Malkiat Singh, DAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This is the third petition filed by the petitioner seeking pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.182 dated 28.08.2023 registered under Sections 307, 458, 323, 324, 379-B, 506, 120-B, 148, 149, 427 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Rampura, District Bathinda.

2. Initially CRM-M No.64459 of 2023 was filed by the petitioner under the name of Gurwinder Singh @ Guri seeking pre-arrest bail. The same was dismissed on merits vide order dated 11.01.2024. Petitioner thereafter again approached this Court by way of CRM-M No.26442 of 2024 under the name of Gurwinder Singh @ Motu without disclosing filing of the earlier petition. The same was ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 02.08.2024 which reads as under :



1. Vide order dated 25.07.2024, this Court passed the following order:-

“xxx xxx xxx

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner also earlier filed anticipatory bail petition i.e. CRM-M-64495 of 2023 in the name of Gurwinder Singh @ Guri, which was dismissed by the coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.01.2024 and the present petition has been moved suppressing the dismissal of earlier petition by changing name as Gurwinder Singh @ Motu.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the earlier petition was filed by Gurwinder Singh @ Guri and this petition has been filed by Gurwinder Singh @ Motu. Both are two separate persons.

From the Aadhar Card annexed with the earlier anticipatory bail petition, it would be very much clear whether the present petition has been moved by the same person or different person.

Let record of CRM-M-64495 of 2023, decided on 11.01.2024 be put up along with this petition, for hearing on 02.08.2024.

Interim order to continue.”

2. Pursuant to aforesaid order, the record of CRM-M-64495-2023 has been received. It reveals from the said record that copy of Aadhar Card annexed with the said petition is similar to the copy of Aadhar Card annexed with this petition.
3. When faced with the situation that this Court has found that there is concealment of fact, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn.

3. This is the third petition seeking pre-arrest bail.

4. State Counsel informs this Court that the petitioner has not responded to theailable warrants of arrest issued by the Court and his presence is now being procured through warrants of arrest.



5. Counsel for the petitioner is not in position to controvert the aforesaid facts.

6. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through records of the case.

7. Keeping in view the conduct of the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed.

February 04, 2025

**(Pankaj Jain)
Judge**

Dpr

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No