



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

**COCP No.1468 of 2023 (O & M)**

**Date of decision :-10.09.2025**

**Sunny Malhotra and another**

**.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

**Roshni Devi and others**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, AAG, Haryana.

**NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)**

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of orders dated 09.11.2021 (Annexure P-1), 21.12.2021 (Annexure P-2) and 17.3.2022 (Annexure P-3) passed by the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Faridabad in Civil Suit No.2935 of 2021 titled as “Sunny Malhotra vs. Roshni Devi etc.” whereby in the Civil Suit filed by the petitioners status quo regarding alienation as well as raising construction over the suit property has been directed.

The Compliance Report dated 16.9.2023 by way of affidavit of Shri Kabul Singh, Inspector, Station House Officer, Police Station Mujesar, District Faridabad-respondent No.12 has already been filed in the Registry, which is annexed on the file. The same is taken on record.

It is pointed out by learned counsel for the respondent-State that the present contempt petition arises out of a private civil dispute between private parties being the petitioners and respondents No.1 to 11 herein. It is submitted that therefore, the present contempt petition is not



maintainable in terms of Rule 9-C Vol.V Chapter 7-H of the High Court Rules and Regulations, which reads as follows :-

*“9. In the case of a civil contempt other than a contempt referred to in Section 14, the High Court may take action :*

*(a) xxx xxx xxx*

*(b) xxx xxx xxx*

*(c) in the case of any civil contempt of a subordinate court, on reference made to it by that Court.”*

Heard.

On the last date of hearing none appeared on behalf of petitioners. Even today none has put in appearance on behalf of petitioners.

If there is any violation of the aforesaid orders (Annexures P-1 to P-3) by the said respondents, it is open to the petitioners to file contempt under Order 39 Rules 2A CPC.

In view of the facts noted above and the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present contempt petition is accordingly **disposed of** as not maintainable.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

September 10, 2025  
Vijay Asija

( NIDHI GUPTA )  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No  
Whether Reportable Yes / No