



CRM-M-16164-2025 (O&amp;M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

151+213

CRM-M-16164-2025 (O&M)  
Decided on : 01.09.2025

JOGINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.CRM-34914-2025

1. Present application has been moved by the applicant/petitioner for placing on record copy of the custody certificate dated 07.07.2025 as Annexure P-5 and copies of judgment/orders dated 24.12.2021, 12.06.2023, 08.01.2024, 28.10.2022, 08.04.2025, 07.10.2021, 23.04.2025 and 23.12.2022 as Annexures P-6 to P-13..
2. Considering the averments mentioned in the application, prayer made in the application is allowed and custody certificates and copies of judgment/orders are ordered to be taken on record as Annexures P-5 to P13, respectively. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place on the file.
3. CM stands disposed of.

CRM-M-16164-2025

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Joginder Singh	306	27.07.2023	21(b) and 27-A of NDPS Act	Bhuna	Fatehabad

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that sole allegation against the petitioner is that he supplied 13 grams and 50 milligrams of heroin to his co-accused, Jagsir Singh @ Jaggu, who has already been granted the concession of default bail by co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 06.03.2024, passed in CRM-M-48950-2023 (Annexure P-3).

It is further contended that allegation against the petitioner is false and is based solely on the disclosure statement made by the co-accused, Jagsir Singh @ Jaggu, through whom name of the petitioner surfaced in the present case. Counsel submits that, apart from the said disclosure statement, prosecution has not produced any other incriminating evidence, connecting the petitioner with the alleged offence.

3. It is also submitted that petitioner is in custody since 09.01.2025, and has, thus, undergone incarceration for approximately a period of seven months. Out of total eleven prosecution witnesses, not a



single witness has been examined till date, and conclusion of trial is likely to take a considerable amount of time. Thus, counsel prays for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel is unable to dispute any of the factual assertion stated by counsel for the petitioner, today before this Court. However, he prays for dismissal of the present petition.

5. This Court has heard the submissions advanced by counsel for the parties and has also perused the record available on file.

It is noticed that petitioner is in custody since 09.01.2025, i.e., for a period of approximately seven months. Furthermore, out of total eleven prosecution witnesses, none has been examined, till date. In such circumstances, conclusion of the trial is likely to take a considerable amount of time. Accordingly, this Court finds it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner in the present case.

6. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an



independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

9. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

10. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

01.09.2025  
Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO  
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO