

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

233

**FAO-419-2000 (O&M)
Decided on:07.08.2025**

Bishambhar Lal

. . . Appellant

Versus

Mukesh Kumar

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Tushar Gera, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. B. D. Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present appeal arises from an interim order passed in a suit relating to the Trade Marks Act.
2. On 17.05.2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had been pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Tushar Gera, Advocate
for the appellant.*

*Mr. Bhrigu Dutt Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.*

*Counsel for the appellant seeks one more
adjournment to address the arguments.*

*Since the present appeal has arisen from the
interim order passed in a suit relating to the Trade
Marks Act, therefore, learned counsel for the respective
parties seek time to find out as to what happened to the
suit, as such.*

Adjourned to 10.07.2024.

*However, it is clarified that no further
adjournment shall be granted.*

17.05.2024”



3. Learned counsel for the appellant as well as counsel for the respondent have submitted that inspite of the best efforts, they have not been able to get in touch with their respective parties and thus, they are not in a position to state as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal as on date and hence, pray that the present appeal may be disposed of at this stage but liberty may be granted to the parties to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.

4. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of at this stage with the aforesaid liberty.

5. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

07.08.2025

Mehak

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

*Yes/No
Yes/No*