

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

INCOMP No. 152 of 2025
Date of Decision: 07.03.2025

Rajeev Sharma and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
0111	08.04.2024	Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar, Mohali	306 IPC

The aforesaid petition was filed on 29.10.2024 by Mr. Deepak Grover, Advocate. The Registry had raised objection on the case file.

2. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders. Note was published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the advocates were requested to collect their all cases lying with objections for re-filing after removal of objections.

3. It was further notified that all pending cases of this type will be listed in Court for appropriate order. The case was shown in the cause list. On the last date of hearing, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners. Same is the position today also. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed and the same is disposed of. Liberty reserved to the petitioners to approach this Court again, if need arises.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

07.03.2025

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.