



ARB-188-2025

1

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ARB-188-2025

Date of Decision:21.03.2025

SUPREME BUILDERS

..... Applicant

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Meenal Garg, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant application under Section 29A(4) and (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996 Act'), the applicant is seeking extension of mandate of Arbitrator for a period of 06 months.

2. The applicant preferred an application under Section 11(6) of 1996 Act seeking appointment of an Arbitrator. By order dated 25.01.2023 (Annexure P-1) of this Court, a Sole Arbitrator was appointed to adjudicate the dispute between the parties. Both the parties appeared before the Arbitrator and filed their claims/counter claims. The mandate of Arbitrator in terms of Section 29A of 1996 Act will expire on 09.04.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Arbitrator was appointed by this Court, thus, this Court can extend mandate of



Arbitrator. Both the parties have filed claims/counter claims. The matter is at the stage of arguments.

4. Learned State counsel submits that delay in adjudication has not taken place on account of lapse on the part of respondents. The Court may consider this aspect.

5. There is no gain to the applicant in delaying the matter because it is the applicant who has lodged its claim against the respondents.

6. In the backdrop, the present application is allowed and the mandate of Arbitrator is extended for a period of 06 months from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

21.03.2025

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No