



CRM-M-19291-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

256

CRM-M-19291-2025

Date of decision : 09.07.2025

Navroop Singh and Anr.

..... Petitioners

V/S

State of Haryana and Anr.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate and
Ms. Yashika Walia, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners – Navroop Singh and Mandeep Kaur have filed this petition under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.40 dated 30.05.2022, registered under Sections 406, 498-A, of IPC, at Police Station Women, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, qua the petitioners, in light of the compromise effected between the parties dated 18.03.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. As per facts of the case, complainant Palak Juneja filed written complaint against her husband Navroop Singh and mother-in-law for domestic violence and cruelty. She got married with Navroop Singh and after few days of marriage, she was mentally harassed by her husband Navroop Singh and mother-in-law Mandeep Kaur. During her stay in the matrimonial home, she was continuously illtreated and harassed. She tried to adjust in the matrimonial home but every time her husband and mother-in-law used to pick up quarrel on petty

**CRM-M-19291-2025****-2-**

issues. She was not permitted to talk to her family members. She was held responsible for each and everything happening in the family. Other members in the matrimonial home interfered in her married life. She used to do entire household work even then her husband and in-laws remained unhappy. They levelled false allegations against her. They also misbehaved with her family members. Due to the maltreatment given to her in the matrimonial home, she suffered medical problems and came to her parental house. Finally, she filed present complaint, on the basis of which present FIR has been registered.

3. Petitioners filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 08.04.2025, petitioners and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kurukshetra dated 29.05.2025. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded where she confirmed the compromise with petitioners. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected voluntarily, without any coercion or undue influence and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

4. Petitioners- Navroop Singh and Mandeep Kaur also confirmed this fact in their joint statement. Statement of ASI Nirmala is also recorded who confirmed that petitioners are not involved or declared as proclaimed offenders in any other criminal case.

5. Therefore, from the report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kurukshetra it is clear that compromise has been effected between the parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. They have mutually settled all their claims arisen from matrimonial dispute. They have decided to part ways



CRM-M-19291-2025

-3-

by filing joint petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act. Matter has been settled in Rs.20,00,000/-. Thereafter, they will be able to live independently in peace and harmony. No purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

6. Gainful reference can be made to the judgment of Larger Bench of Five Judges of this High Court cited in **2007(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052 tilted as Kulwinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.,** where it was explained that ‘there can never be any hard and fast category which can be prescribed to enable the court to exercise its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the section itself i.e. to prevent abuse of the process of any court or to secure the ends of justice.’

7. Therefore, by relying upon the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. Considering these facts, the petition filed by the petitioners is accepted and FIR No.40 dated 30.05.2022, registered under Sections 406, 498-A, of IPC, at Police Station Women, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arisen therefrom are quashed qua petitioners.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

09.07.2025.

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No