

2025:PHHC:012327



128

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-291-2025

Date of decision: 28.01.2025

Mela Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Darshan Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner does not know the exact date on which Rashi Kaur had purchased the share in the property and as to whether the said purchase was lis pendens or not. It is submitted that in view of the same, the present revision petition be disposed of but liberty be granted to the petitioner to revive the same after placing on record the documents to show the exact date on which Rashi Kaur had purchased the suit property and as to whether the same was lis pendens or not and has further submitted that at the time of producing the said documents, he would also place on record the order passed in application filed under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC.

2. In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

28.01.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No