

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:035057



(308)

CRM-M-1468-2025

Date of Decision: 11.03.2025

Pankaj @ Gauri & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.

Present:- Mr. S.S. Sohi, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ranjandeep Singh, Advocate for
respondents no.2 and 3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.J (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.150 dated 16.10.2024 under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 190, 191(3), 304(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station Kotwali Nabha, District Patiala and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 13.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Vide order dated 14.01.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 13.02.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nabha, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands

verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

The Trial Court has annexed the **copy of statements** of the parties, alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nabha and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

11.03.2025

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No