



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

**CWP-PIL No.244 of 2024
Date of decision : 30.1.2025**

Bhagwant Rai

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Saurav Khurana, Addl. AG, Punjab

Mr. J.S. Toor, Advocate and
Mr. Adhiraj Toor, Advocate, for respondent no.6

Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate, for respondent no.7

SHEEL NAGU, CJ. (ORAL)

1. Reply filed on behalf of respondent no.6, is taken on record.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute and which is also evident from the record that alternative remedy of preferring appeal under Section 33 before the Appellate Authority and thereafter revision under Section 34 before the State Government under the Punjab Apartment and Property Regulation Act, 1995, is available to the petitioner to be availed. It is also evident from the record that amount of ₹67,86,000/- (Annexure R/6/2) and ₹46,80,000/- (Annexure R/6/3) have also been paid by way of two demand drafts in favour of the Municipal Council, Barnala, and therefore, it is contended by respondents no.6 and 7



that the cause has been redressed and the instant petition has become infructuous.

3. In view of same matter having been disposed of by this Court in *Bhagwant Rai v. State of Punjab and others*, CWP-PIL No.4 of 2025, on 13.1.2025, this Court disposes of the present petition extending liberty to the petitioner to avail the remedy of appeal/revision, as the case may be, under the aforesaid Act.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

30.1.2025

Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No