



CWP-15530-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(140)

CWP-15530-2025

Date of Decision : 27.05.2025

Ganesh Bhog Flour Mills

...Petitioner

Versus

PSPCL and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Rishab Bhandari, Advocate and  
Ms. Deepika Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)**

1. Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of the respondents, and has filed duly executed *vakalatnama* in his favour today in the Court. The same is taken on the record.

2. The instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of its grievance, and without approaching the competent authorities concerned, i.e. Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum (CGRF), has sidetracked the said remedy, and has straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the instant writ petition.

3. In such circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**.



**CWP-15530-2025**

**2**

4. However, liberty is reserved for the petitioner to approach the competent authorities concerned, i.e. Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum (CGRF), for the relief, as sought through the instant writ petition, within a period of 15 days, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. In case, the petitioner approaches the authority concerned within the stipulated period, the latter shall make an endeavour to decide the complaint within two months, thereafter.

6. Ordered accordingly.

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

May 27, 2025

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No