

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:109521



(221)

COCP-5199-2024 (O&M)
Decided on : 20.08.2025

Dhanna Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vivek Joshi & others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr.Rajesh Khandelwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for intentional disobedience of the order dated 31.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-1563-2024 titled *Sukriti Malik Vs. State of Haryana & others* along with connected writ petitions & appeals.

2. Relevant portion of the said judgment is reproduced as under:

“Keeping in view our findings relating to conducting of examination without declaring the result of CET finally by the Commission, we direct the Chief Secretary, Haryana to take steps to appoint a suitable candidate having experience of conducting examination as Secretary of the Haryana Staff Selection like the Controller of Examinations of any State Universities.”

3. Learned State counsel contends that a bunch of Review Petitions bearing RA-LP-73-2024, RA-LP-80-2024, RA-LP-82-2024, RA-LP-41-2024, RA-LP-11-2024, RA-LP-19-2024, RA-LP-27-2024, RA-LP-15-2025, RA-LP-25-2025, RA-LP-27-2025, RA-LP-28-2025, RA-LP-45-2025, RA-LP-43-2025, RA-LP-44-2025, RA-LP-42-2025, RA-LP-38-2025, RA-LP-37-2025, RA-LP-81-2024, RA-LP-22-2024, RA-CW-323-2024, RA-LP-23-2024, RA-LP-24-2024, RA-LP-26-2024, RA-LP-29-2024, RA-LP-28-2024, RA-LP-30-2024, RA-LP-31-2024, RA-LP-32-2024, RA-LP-33-2024, RA-LP-34-2024,

RA-LP-35-2024, RA-LP-36-2024, RA-LP-37-2024, RA-LP-38-2024, RA-LP-57-2024, RA-LP-40-2024, RA-LP-42-2024, RA-LP-43-2024, RA-LP-44-2024, RA-LP-46-2024, RA-LP-45-2024, RA-LP-39-2024, RA-LP-47-2024, RA-LP-48-2024, RA-LP-54-2024, RA-LP-49-2024, RA-LP-51-2024, RA-LP-50-2024, RA-LP-56-2024, RA-LP-58-2024, RA-LP-66-2024, RA-LP-63-2024, RA-LP-64-2024, RA-LP-65-2024, RA-CW-290-2024 & RA-CW-296-2024 are pending before Division Bench of this Court for 05.09.2025.

4. In view of the same, Learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, wishes to withdraw the Contempt Petition.

3. In view of the above, Civil Original Contempt Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail the remedy as is available to him, in accordance with law, after the decision of review petitions.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

August 20, 2025
sailesh

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No