

**COCP No. 2114 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.12.2018.**

Suresh Devi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Ramphal and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Ms. Anju Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners in COCP No. 2114 of 2012 and
for the respondents in COCP No. 3418 of 2012.

Mr. Ankit Chahal, Advocate for
Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate
for the respondents in COCP No. 2114 of 2012 and
for the petitioners in COCP No. 3418 of 2012

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute that as on date,
residential house as well as the land is in their possession.

In view the same, no useful purpose would be served in continuing
with the contempt petition. The same is dismissed accordingly.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

However, in case the respondents interfere or try and over-reach or
violate the order passed by this Court on 06.12.2012, the petitioners are at
liberty to file the contempt petition afresh.

03.12.2018
renu bala

**(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No