



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-3217-2023**

**Date of decision : 25.09.2025**

MANDEEP KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

KAMAL KISHORE YADAV AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present : Mr. Vishal Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Puru Jarewal, DAG, Punjab.

**PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner alleges wilful disobedience of the order dated 27.04.2023 passed by the Writ Court in CWP No.21772 of 2020 which was disposed off in terms of the order passed in **Maninder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others – CWP No.7518 of 2020**, dated 27.04.2023.

2. While disposing off CWP No.7518 of 2020, Writ Court observed as under:

“1. xxx

2. Sh. D.K.Singal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from Mr. Maninder Singh Sarkaria, Director, State Council of Educational Research and Training, Punjab, has submitted that the Additional Principal Secretary, Department of Education, has agreed to constitute a Committee of subject experts from Panjab University, Chandigarh in order to solicit their expert opinion. Sh. Singal, the learned counsel representing the State of Punjab has assured the Court that after receiving the opinion of the Committee consisting of the subject experts, consequential steps shall be taken in accordance with law.

3. The learned counsels representing the petitioners have no objection to the aforesaid stand, however they submit that the Additional Principal Secretary should be impressed upon to request the members of the expert committee to decide the matter expeditiously so as to enable the petitioners to apply for recruitment, if they are declared to have qualified the exam.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts, the writ petitions are disposed of with the expectation that, upon receipt of a report from the expert committee consisting of subject matter experts, the competent authority will take a practical approach to the matter and take appropriate steps accordingly.
5. xxx”

2. Stand taken by the respondents as per affidavit filed by Harpreet Singh, Assistant Director, Education Recruitment Directorate, Department of School Education, Punjab SAS Nagar, reads as under:

“3. That in this regard, it is hereby submitted that the office of the answering respondent has written to the Director School Education (Secondary) Punjab (The Appointing Authority) vide letter dated 13.03.2024 for issuance of appointment letter to the petitioner. The translated copy of the above letter dated 15.03.2024 is annexed herewith as **Annexure R-1.**”

3. Counsel for the respondents submits that pursuant to the revised result, the appointment letter has been issued.
4. In view of the statement made by counsel for the respondent, no further order is required to be passed in the present contempt petition. The same is accordingly, disposed off.

**September 25, 2025**

**Dpr**

**(Pankaj Jain)**

**Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No