



**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4870-2025

Date of Decision: 30.01.2025

RITESH SOOD

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate
Mr. Imran Ahmad Ali, Advocate and
Ms. Amarpreet Kooner, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA , J.(ORAL

Seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to give seven days advance notice to the petitioner in case he is required with regard to any cognizable offence on the complaint submitted by respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. In response to the order dated 28.01.2025, short reply by way of affidavit filed by State counsel in Court today is taken on record. In paragraph No. 5 of the reply, it has been mentioned that the petitioner did not join inquiry proceedings before the concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police.

3. Since the allegations are made against the Deputy Superintendent of Police, who has called him; given such allegation, let the concerned Superintendent of Police shall change inquiry Officer on the complaint and substituted respondent No.3 for further inquiry.

4. Petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation. All pending applications, if any, also stands disposed of.

30.01.2025
smriti

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No