

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC.014615



**CWP No.2182 of 2025**

**Date of Decision: 31.01.2025**

**Gurpreet Singh and others**

**....Petitioners**

vs.

**State of Punjab and others**

**....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. Supinder Singh Sohi, Advocate  
for the petitioners

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. On 27.01.2025, the following order was passed by this Court:-

- “1. The petitioners claim that as per Rule 9 of Punjab Police Investigation Cadre (Subordinate Ranks) Service Rules, 2020, Scrutiny-cum-Screening Committee was supposed to consider all the eligible candidates for absorption. By order dated 25.05.2023, the case of 21 Constables working on deputation and 74 on temporary attachments was considered. The petitioners are over and above those 74 temporarily attached Constables. The respondent was bound to consider them because they have not given their willingness for not getting absorbed.*
- 2. Learned State counsel seeks short accommodation to get complete instructions.*
- 3. Adjourned to 31.01.2025.”*



2. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab, during the course of hearing, produced copy of order dated 28.01.2025 passed by Director, Bureau of Investigation, Punjab whereby petitioners have been absorbed in the Punjab Bureau of Investigation and they are entitled to seniority as per Rule 9(2) of the Punjab Police Investigation Cadre (Subordinate Ranks) Service Rules, 2020. He submits that in view of the afore-said order dated 28.01.2025, the grievance of the petitioners stands redressed.

3. In the wake of statement of Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab, the petition stands disposed of.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**31.01.2025**  
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No