



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

**CRM-M-57075-2024
Date of decision: 17.03.2025**

Jagtar Singh @ Tari

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Ms. Nidhi, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.212 dated 28.10.2022 under Sections 21, 25 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short, 'the NDPS Act') registered at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana (Rural).

2. At the outset, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the counsel opposite for extending the concession of bail to the petitioner in the FIR in question. It has been contended by the learned State counsel that the petitioner was nabbed with 255 grams of heroin following a secret information received qua the co-accused who thereafter nominated the petitioner in a disclosure statement as being one of his accomplices. Learned State counsel, on further instructions, submits that the trial is nearing conclusion with the



CRM-M-57075-2024

case being at the stage of defence evidence. Hence, in all likelihood the trial would be concluding in the very near future.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material on record.

4. In the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove and keeping in view the stage of trial, this Court does not deem it fit to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed.

5. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

17.03.2025

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No