



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47210-2025  
Date of decision: 29.09.2025

KAJAL @ MONU NAGAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL**

Present:- Ms. Sweta Chahal, Advocate and  
Mr. Satbir Singh Kanwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG Haryana.

.....

**RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.183 dated 31.05.2025 under Section 308(2), 308(6) and 61(2) (Sections 111(3) BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.

2. On 04.09.2025, following order had been passed: -

*" Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.183 dated 31.05.2025 registered under Sections 308(2), 308(6) and 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Section 111(3) of the BNS added later on), at Police Station Sadar Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.*

*Status report filed by learned State counsel, in Court today, is taken on record.*

*Brief facts of the case as per prosecution are that one complaint was lodged by Sunil @ Sinni stating that he has*



*friendship with one Kajal daughter of Madan Lal for the last one year. On 04.04.2025, Kajal came on scooty along with her female friend and they went to a rented room situated in the fields of Anand, who was also present there. Kajal disclosed the name of the female as Swati. After sometime, Anand and Swati went to room situated upstairs and Kajal left the place. After sometime, Anand came down and complainant went to the room upstairs and had physical relation with Swati with her consent. Thereafter, Swati demanded money from the complainant and Anand and after sometime, the complainant got to know that Swati and Kajal had lodged a complaint against them on 05.04.2025, Swati and Kajal made a telephonic call to Rai Singh who is cousin of Anand through one Sonu to settle the matter and demanded cash amount of Rs.5 lakhs but the matter was settled for Rs.2 lakhs. It is further stated that the complainant came to know that Swati and Kajal registered similar complaints and had extorted money from many persons.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. No offence is made out against the present petitioner even if the allegations in the FIR are taken to be true as the dispute regarding money was between Swati and the complainant. An FIR No.107 dated 05.04.2025 was got registered under Section 70(1) of the BNS by Swati and even the cash was demanded by co-accused Sonu @ Somi on behalf of Swati. He further argued that the present petitioner has been implicated only for the reason that she introduced Swati to the complainant. No recovery is to be effected from the petitioner. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.*

*Notice of motion.*

*Learned State counsel, who has appeared on advance notice of the petition, has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail. While referring to the status report, it is argued that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature.*

*Adjourned to 29.09.2025.*

*In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, she shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”*



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.09.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Mukesh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 04.09.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

29.09.2025  
puneet

**(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)**  
**JUDGE**

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |