



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55210-2024

Date of decision: 02.04.2025

Amit @ Sunder

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS 2023 seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No. 14.08.2024, under Sections 115, 117(2), 126, 3(5) of BNS 2023, registered at Police Station Uklana, District Hisar.

2. The allegations in nutshell are that complainant Jagdish reported to the police that his son Amit @ Sunder (petitioner) used to quarrel with him and asked for money from him. On 11.08.2024, petitioner, his grand son and son in law Kuldeep intercepted the complainant and they started beating him. Petitioner gave danda blows to the complainant and caused injuries to him. The other accused also gave danda blows to the complainant. During investigation, petitioner was arrested. Injuries caused on person of complainant with the help blunt weapons were found to be grievous in nature.

3. The counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case and is in custody for the last more than 7 months and is having no criminal history and that during investigation, police recovered one wooden danda at the instance of the petitioner. On completion of investigation, police has



presented challan but till date, charges are not framed and it will take time for the trial to conclude. It is further submitted that no purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any longer period.

4. The present petition is resisted by the State counsel who on instructions from SI Amit Kundu submits that petitioner caused grievous injuries to the complainant with the help of wooden danda which was recovered during the investigation of the case. However, the State counsel has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is incarcerated for the last more than 7 months and is not involved in any other criminal case and that the trial will commence only after the framing of charges.

5. I have considered the submissions made by counsel for the parties.

6. No doubt, injuries attributed to the petitioner were found to be grievous in nature but as per medical record, all the injuries are found to be caused with blunt weapon. The petitioner is son of complainant and is behind bars for the last more than 7 months and is having no criminal antecedents. It is also apparent that it will take time for the trial to conclude. Having regard to the aforesaid facts, further detention of the petitioner in judicial custody is not going to serve any useful purpose.

7. In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

02.04.2025

Yogesh

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No