

2025:PHHC:101371



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-20236-2025**

**Date of Decision:06.08.2025**

Hoshiyar Singh ...Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Haryana ... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT**

Present : Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate and  
Ms. Himanshu Garg, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

**N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J.**

1. The petitioner has filed the second petition under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S., 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.168 dated 19.04.2024 registered under Sections 15(c)/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short, '**the NDPS Act**') at Police Station Dabwali Sadar, District Dabwali.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was apprehended alongwith Gyarsi Lal @ Garsi while they were carrying the contraband in the truck. In fact, the petitioner was the driver of the truck. He was traveling with Gyarsi Lal @ Garsi Lal in the truck, which was owned by Uday Lal. However, Uday Lal was not arrested by the police. Further Gyarsi Lal @ Garsi Lal has been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order of even

date. He further submits that the case of the petitioner is at par with Gyarsi Lal @ Garsi and he deserves to be enlarged on regular bail.

3. A short reply by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dabwali, District Sirsa has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State and the same is taken on record.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there is one more case registered against the petitioner under the provisions of NDPS Act. However, the petitioner has already been admitted to bail in the said case.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In the present case, a similarly placed co-accused Gyarsi Lal @ Garsi has been granted the concession of bail by this Court today itself and the case of the petitioner is at par with him.

7. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned. He shall continue to appear before the trial Court on the each and every date of hearing and shall not absent himself.

06.08.2025

amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No