

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-8000-2025

Date of Decision : May 01, 2025

M/S SANJEEV KUMAR GOYAL CONTRACTOR

-PETITIONER

V/S

THE STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab
for the respondent No.1.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, Advocate
for the respondents No.2 to 4.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. In deference to the hereinafter extracted order passed by this Court on 21.03.2025, today the learned counsel for the respondents No.2 to 4 has placed on record the affidavit of Mr. Amritpal Singh, Executive Engineer (C), Punjab Mandi Board, Patiala Division, which is accompanied by speaking order dated 24.04.2025, as passed on the petitioner's representation(s).

"1. The gravamen of the lis, as encapsulated in this writ petition, is centered upon the indolent and lackadaisical approach of the authority(ies) concerned inasmuch as despite repeated requests and lapse of considerable time, neither the payment of the bill(s) has been made to the petitioner, nor any decision has been made on petitioner's representations, which are enclosed as Annexures P-4 and P-5 in this writ petition.

2. During the course of arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that, at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is passed upon the respondent(s)

concerned to decide the representations (supra) in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent No.1.

5. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, Advocate, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents No.2 to 4, and, submits that, there is no objection in case a direction is passed upon the respondent(s) concerned to decide the representations (supra) in a time bound manner.

6. Without evincing any opinion as regards the merits of this writ petition, or, as regards the bill(s) being admitted/sanctioned, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the competent authority, amongst the respondents, to make final decision on the representations (supra) before the next date of hearing, and, in case any payment is found outstanding, the same shall forthwith be released in favour of the petitioner. Moreover, a copy of the decision so taken, shall be placed on record on the subsequent date of hearing.

7. It is made clear that, in case of non-compliance of the direction (supra), the competent authority shall cause personal appearance before this Court on the subsequent date of hearing.

8. List on 01.05.2025, in the urgent list.

9. It is made clear that, on the subsequent date of hearing, no request for adjournment would be entertained on behalf of either side.”

2. Since a speaking order has already been passed on the petitioner’s representation(s), therefore, no further direction is required to be passed. Hence, the instant writ petition is closed. However, in case, the petitioner has any grievance against the speaking order (supra), it is at liberty to take the apposite legal recourse for redressal thereof.

3. Disposed of accordingly.

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

**May 01, 2025
devinder**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No