



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

**CWP-10922-2019 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 16.05.2025**

RAJESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: - Ms. Parbeen Kumari Dharwal, Advocate for  
Ms. Gunjan Mehta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

The present writ petition has been filed seeking directions to the respondents to call the petitioner for the interview for the post of Forester, Forest Department against advertisement No.12 of 2015, Category No.12 having secured more marks than the last candidate called for interview.

2. Counsel for the petitioner had claimed that the benefit of 04 marks against question No. 9, 46, 53 and 95 has not been extended to him and that if the benefit of the said 04 questions is extended, the marks of the



petitioner would exceed the cut off marks against the BC-A category and he would be qualified to participate in the interview process.

3. Under interim order passed by this Court, the petitioner was provisionally permitted to participate in the interview process. The result in the sealed cover has been produced before this Court as per which the petitioner has secured 114 marks in the written examination and 15 marks in the Viva voce and a grand total of 129 marks.

4. Learned State Counsel has informed that as per the final result prepared, the last selected candidate had secured a total of 141 marks whereas the last candidate in the waiting list had secured 139 marks.

5. Learned State Counsel further informs that on seeking report from the experts, benefit of 01 question had been extended to the petitioner and hence, the dispute would be only with respect to three questions. For the sake of argument, even if the benefit of these three questions is extended to the petitioner, yet, the total score of the petitioner would be around 117 marks. Since the cut-off in the written examination for BC-A category is 122 marks, hence, the petitioner in any case would not be eligible. Further, as per the final result, for being selected, the marks required to be obtained was 141 and 139 for the waiting list. The petitioner still falls short of the same.

6. The instant writ petition is thus disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

7. The result of the petitioner today produced before the Court, is perused and returned to the learned State Counsel.

2025:PHHC:069007



**CWP-10922-2019 (O&M)**

-3-

8. All the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of.

**MAY 16, 2025**  
*Vishal Sharma*

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No