

CWP-10832-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10832-2025Date of Decision: 22.04.2025

Hari Dutt

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Mr. Deepak Goyat and
Mr. Nikhil Sehrawat, Advocates,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Prince Singh, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 16.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) whereby he has been placed under suspension.

2. The suspension order is hereby reproduced as below:-

“Office Order No.283/SE/Admn. Dated: 16/04/2025

Er. Hari Dutt, (HRMS ID: FQB4BC) SE/OP Circle, DHBVNL, Jind is hereby placed under suspension with immediate effect. During suspension, headquarter of the above named officer is hereby fixed in the office of Chief Engineer/OP, DHBVNL, Delhi where he will mark his attendance on every working day.

CWP-10832-2025

2

2. *During the suspension period, the above named officer will draw subsistence allowance as admissible under Rule-83 of Haryana Civil Services (General) Rules-2016.*

This issues with the approval of Managing Director, DHBVN, Hisar.”

3. Mr. Ashwani Talwar submits that as per news reports, the petitioner was suspended because he did not attend telephonic call of Power Minister on 16.04.2025.

4. Mr. Prince Singh, Advocate, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that recovery in the Division of petitioner is lowest, thus, he was placed under suspension. The department is contemplating to issue charge sheet.

5. From the perusal of suspension order, it is evident that no reason has been assigned for placing the petitioner under suspension. There may be so many reasons for placing an employee under suspension, however, employer is duty bound to disclose at least one reason for placing an employee under suspension.

6. Being non-speaking, non-reasoned and mechanical, the impugned order deserves to be set aside and accordingly set aside. The respondent is at liberty to pass a fresh order in accordance with law.

7. Disposed of.

22.04.2025

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

shivani

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
No