



CWP-10206-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

146

CWP-10206-2025

Date of Decision: 08.04.2025

Vir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Malik, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
(also appearing as Advocate for respondent No.3- Municipal
Corporation, Faridabad)

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 22.08.2014 (Annexure P-2) to the extent that he has been regularized w.e.f. 01.07.2014 instead of 01.10.2003.

2. The petitioner was regularized by order dated 22.08.2014. From the perusal of said order, it is evident that it was a regular appointment on the basis of walking interview. This Court vide judgment dated 04.02.2025 has decided bunch of petitions including *CWP No.10071 of 2022, Sanjeev Kumar v. State of Haryana and others* involving the identical issue.

3. On being confronted with aforesaid judgment, learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert applicability of aforesaid judgment to the facts of present case.

**CWP-10206-2025****-2-**

4. In the backdrop, the present petition stands disposed of in terms of judgment dated 22.01.2025 passed in *Sanjeev Kumar (supra)*.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

08.04.2025*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No