



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-4336-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision:20.02.2025**

BRIJ BALA MITTAL Petitioner
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Satyam Tandon, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to grant family pension, gratuity and other consequential benefits.

2. Mr. Satyam Tandon, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5 submits that petitioner being dependent of deceased employee was granted compassionate appointment. She is not entitled to claim benefit of family pension and was released all other retiral/service benefits as permissible by law.

3. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that with the efflux of time, petitioner has lost her interest to pursue the matter.

4. Dismissed for non-prosecution. The petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application within three months from today, if cause survives.

5. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

20.02.2025

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No