



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-64684-2024
Date of decision: 10.03.2025**

SHARANPREET KAUR **....PETITIONER**

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER **....RESPONDENT**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner (through Video Conferencing).

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Deepika Verma, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Status report dated 09.03.2025 in the form of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Sub-Division-5, Jalandhar Cantt, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
125	09.08.2024	406, 420, 370 of IPC and 24 of Immigration Act.	Cantt Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order 20.12.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 20.12.2024.

**CRM-M-64684-2024**

2

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Chain Singh, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. During the course of hearing on 20.12.2024, following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for petitioner argued that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. No role is attributed to her. Matter has been compromised with the complainant. Copy of compromise is Annexure P-2. Petitioner is ready to join the investigation. Therefore, his anticipatory bail petition may be allowed.

Notice of motion.

On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State and prays for an adjournment to file status report.

Ms. Deepika Verma, Advocate appeared on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. She confirmed the compromise with petitioner.

Adjourned to 10.03.2025, for filing status report.

In the meantime, arrest of petitioner-Sharanpreet Kaur is stayed, subject to joining her investigation.”

7. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 20.12.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail

**CRM-M-64684-2024**

3

granted vide order dated 20.12.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; she will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

8. The petition stands allowed.

9. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

10.03.2025

kanika

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No