



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

205

RSA-3091-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.03.2025

LACHHMAN SINGH**.... Appellant****V/S****PIARA SINGH AND ORS.****.... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL**

Present: Mr. Rahul Bansal, Advocate for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

*********SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Counsel for the appellant states that despite registered letter, appellant has not contacted his office. A specific statement has been made that he does not have any instructions.
2. It seems that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal.
3. Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.
4. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in case, anything worthwhile survives for consideration.

05.03.2025
pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>