



204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-8014-2025****Date of Decision: 18.07.2025**

Pawan Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**Present:** Mr. Anil Mehta, Advocate and
Ms. Livleen Brar, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Dogra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jyotnoor Kaur Sethi, Advocate and
Mr. Jasdev Singh Mehendiratta, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed seeking cancellation of interim bail order dated 31.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) in CRM-M-5665-2025 granted to the accused persons in case FIR No.161 dated 31.12.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 333/115(2)/191(3) of BNS registered at Police Station Shambu, District Patiala.

2. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner-complainant that accused-respondents (petitioners in CRM-M-5665-2025) have been wrongly granted interim bail as the accused-respondents have caused injuries to the petitioner-complainant. However, she further contended that injuries to the complainant could not have been inflicted only by one of the accused.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that specific allegations regarding injuries were made against Mandeep Singh.

4. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 4 submits that interim bail was granted vide order dated 31.01.2025 passed in CRM-M-5665-2025, though the accused-respondents were allegedly present, however, the grievous injuries were not attributed to them. He submits that in pursuance to the order passed by this Court they have joined the investigation and as such their interim bail may be made absolute and the present petition is liable to be dismissed.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI, Bhag Singh, has stated that accused-respondents have joined the investigation and they are no more required for further investigation.

6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, this Court finds that the accused-respondents have already joined the investigation but there is nothing on record that they have misused the concession of interim bail granted by this Court. The contentions raised before this Court are purely a matter of evidence.

7. In view of the above, prayer made in the present petition is declined. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner-complainant to raise his plea before the trial Court concerned.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

18.07.2025

Parveen kumar

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No