



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CWP-9413-2025**

**Date of Decision:08.05.2025**

Dharmender Chaudhary

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER**

Present: Ms. Sidhi Bansal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate and  
Mr. Nischal Chetanya Manchanda, Advocate  
for respondent No.2/M.C.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in the instant civil writ petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *Mandamus*, for directing respondents to comply with statutory obligations under Sections 265, 266 and 282 of the Haryana Municipal Corporation Act, 1994 and to issue notice for necessary repairs/improvements in the structurally defective building owned by respondent No.3.

1.1. A further prayer has been made to direct respondent No. 2-Municipal Corporation, Faridabad to decide representation dated 24.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as regards the relief claimed in the instant writ petition, the petitioner has moved a representation dated 24.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) before respondent

No.2, but the same has not been adverted to by the concerned Authority, till date. She submits that petitioner would be satisfied if time bound directions are issued to competent Authority to decide aforesaid representation dated 24.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

3. Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate, appears on behalf of respondent No.2/M.C. in pursuant to the advance copy of petition having been supplied to the Municipal Corporation, Faridabad and submits that in case the representation dated 24.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) has been received in the office of Municipal Corporation, Faridabad, the same would be decided by the concerned/competent authority, within a period of six weeks from today, after affording due opportunity of hearing to all concerned parties and after carrying out the due inspection of the property in question.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and without commenting on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 (Municipal Corporation, Faridabad, through its Commissioner)/competent authority to consider and decide the representation dated 24.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner, by way of passing a speaking order, after affording due opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties and after inspecting the site in question, within a period of six weeks from today.

5. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

**08.05.2025**

*Pd*

**(HARSH BUNGER)**  
**JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No