



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**500-1**

**CWP-4633-2006**

**Date of Decision: 08.09.2025**

JAI KISHAN & ORS.

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

...Respondents

And

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Petitioner(s)</b>	<b>Respondent(s)</b>
2.	CWP-16160-2006	Mukesh Kumar	State of Haryana and Others
3.	CWP-16168-2006	Ram Phal and Anr.	State of Haryana and Others

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present:- Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate with  
Mr. Shivam Malik, Advocate and  
Mr. Shubham Malik, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Suneel Ranga, DAG Haryana

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned petitions, with the consent of both sides, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from **CWP-4633-2006**.

2. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking setting aside of order dated 08.02.2006 (Annexure P-6) to the extent promotion has been granted to respondent No.6. They are further seeking direction to

respondent to grant them benefit of ORP Scheme as granted to respondents No.7 to 11.

3. The petitioners are claiming that respondent No.6 was wrongly granted benefit of ORP because he was not member of police party which arrested accused in FIR No.358 dated 18.11.2005. They are further claiming that proforma respondents No.7 to 11 were granted benefit of promotion under ORP Scheme for act of bravery and courage but petitioners were denied said benefit though they had played same role.

4. As per ORP Scheme, the petitioners could be granted ad hoc promotion without financial benefit. There was no provision of regular promotion. The petitioners are claiming benefit on the basis of an act of bravery committed in November' 2005. Period of almost two decades has passed away and petitioners as well as respondents might have retired.

5. In the above premise, no further order, at this stage, is warranted.

6. Disposed of.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**08.09.2025**  
*Deepak DPA*

Whether Speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether Reportable: Yes/No