



CRM-M-56499-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(217)

CRM-M-56499-2025
Date of Decision:-15.10.2025

Banty @ Rohan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, Senior DAG, Haryana.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed *inter alia* praying for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 232 dated 09.05.2023 under Sections 363, 366, 376(3) and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 6 of POCSO Act, 2012 registered at Police Station Sector 32-33, Karnal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was granted bail vide order dated 22.04.2024 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court but the same was cancelled on 09.05.2025 as he had failed to appear before the trial Court. Thereafter, the order dated 09.05.2025 was set aside and he was again granted liberty to join the proceedings on or before 17.07.2025 and was also imposed with a cost of Rs. 10,000/-.

3. The petitioner although deposited the cost but again failed to appear and was ultimately arrested on 14.08.2025 and is now again seeking



the concession of regular bail. It is further submitted that, in fact, the prosecutrix has turned hostile.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has volunteered that the petitioner be imposed with any stringent condition and heavy surety of at least Rs. 1,00,000/- and shall not absent himself from the proceedings.

5. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in Court today, which is taken on record. As per the same, the petitioner has been in custody for the last 09 months, and 19 days as on 14.10.2025.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 09 months and 19 days, coupled with the fact the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody and hence, the petitioner is entitled to the grant of concession of regular bail.

7. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition stands allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail if not required in any other case on furnishing **heavy bail bonds and surety bonds of at least Rs. 1,00,000/-** to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned. The petitioner shall, however, be released on the following conditions:

- i The petitioner shall declare his ordinary place of residence and the mobile number used by him.
- ii The petitioner will not switch off his mobile and in case of any technical glitch, he has to give an alternate number, which will be available in his absence.
- iii The petitioner will mark his presence before the SHO concerned,



after every 15 days and in case the SHO refuses to mark his presence, he is permitted to make an application before the Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.

- iv The petitioner will not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court, for which he will submit the copy of his passport also. However, in case the petitioner does not possess a passport, then he shall file an undertaking to the said effect before being released.

The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as imposed in addition to Section 483 of BNSS, 2023.

8. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and this order shall not be considered as parity *qua* any other co-accused in any manner whatsoever.

9. It is further made clear that, in case, the petitioner is found involved in any such activity once again, the State is at liberty to promptly move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail detailing out the circumstances and violation of conditions of bail.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

October 15, 2025

parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No