



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

126

CWP-664-2025

Date of Decision: 14.01.2025

DR. SOMWATI

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant the benefit of second ACP to the petitioner along with interest at the rate of 18% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case the second respondent is directed to decide the pending representation, dated 01.12.2024, Annexure P-5, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State and submits that the pending representation, dated 01.12.2024, will be decided by the second respondent/Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, by passing a speaking/reasoned order in accordance with law within a period of three months.



5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

14.01.2025

Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*