



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

293

**CRM-M-52274-2024
Date of decision: 09.01.2025**

Shankar and othersPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Navneet Jindal, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rubal Pawar, AAG, Punjab.


Mr. Sunil Kumar Rohilla, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.164 dated 22.07.2021 under Sections 323, 324, 452, 427, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Cantonment, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 06.10.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 21.10.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 20.11.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Amritsar, in pursuance of the directions of this



CRM-M-52274-2024

-2-

Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed copies of statements of the parties alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Amritsar and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

09.01.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No