



CRM-M-22973-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232

CRM-M-22973-2025

Date of decision : 06.05.2025

Arshdeep Singh @ Bhola

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mrs. G.K. Mann, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Anmol Jeevan S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. (Now Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023) in FIR No.0181 dated 05.10.2024, under Sections 21(b)/27-A/29/61/85 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Chheharta, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

2. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that it is alleged that the petitioner along with co-accused were apprehended along with a plastic polythene containing 110 grams of heroin and a sum of Rs.9,16,000/- (drug money). She further submits that the quantity is non-commercial in nature and the petitioner is in custody since 05.10.2024. Although the petitioner is involved in two other cases but he is on bail in those cases. She also submits that co-accused, namely, Sahib Singh @ Sabi has been granted bail by this Court in CRM-M-10165-2025 on 27.03.2025.

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner,

**CRM-M-22973-2025****-2-**

which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for 06 months and 26 days. Learned State counsel submits that although charges have been framed but none of the 12 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In view of the above submission of learned counsel(s) and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for 06 months & 26 days; co-accused has been granted bail and the trial is likely to take time as none of the PWs has been examined, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

06.05.2025
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No