

201

IOIN-1-RFA-3949-2002 IN RFA-3949-2002 WITH
IOIN-1-RFA-3368-2002 IN RFA-3368-2002 WITH
IOIN-1-RFA-3362-2002 IN RFA-3362-2002 WITH
IOIN-1-RFA-3872-2002 IN RFA-3872-2002

TARSEM SINGH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS
V/S
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

Present: None.

The main appeal stands decided vide order dated 09.04.2025.

The matter has been listed with the following office note:-

“The above mentioned RFA No.3350 of 2002 and connected case were listed on 9.4.2025 before Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal. It has come to notice that the parties name are mentioned wrong at page no.6 of the final order. The following parties names mentioned are wrong:-

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Case Number</i>	<i>Wrong Party’s Name</i>	<i>Correct Party’s Name</i>
10.	<i>RFA No. 3949-2002</i>	<i>Jagan Nath (since deceased) through his LRs and Others Vs. Union of India and others.</i>	<i>Tarsem Singh (since deceased) through his LRs and others Vs. Union of India and others.</i>
12.	<i>RFA No. 3872-2002</i>	<i>Nirmal Singh (since deceased) through his LRs and Others Vs. Union of India and others.</i>	<i>Kuldeep Kaur and others Vs. Union of India and others.</i>
23.	<i>RFA No. 3368-2002</i>	<i>Bharat Petroleum Corp. Ltd. Vs. Bhagata (since deceased) through his LRs Singh and others.</i>	<i>Bharat Petroleum Corp. Ltd. Vs. Bhagata (since deceased) through his LRs and others.</i>
29.	<i>RFA No. 3362-2002</i>	<i>Bharat Petroleum Corp. Ltd. Vs. Union of India and others.</i>	<i>Bharat Petroleum Corp. Ltd. Vs. Bakhshish Singh and others.</i>

In view of the above, the RFA No.3350 may be sent to DRR with requested to list the case in question in IOIN Category before the Hon’ble Bench for necessary correction.”

The accuracy of the office note has been verified and found to be correct.

The Registry is directed to make necessary corrections.

IOINs stand disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

21.05.2025
Mohit Bishnoi