



216

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-4201-1999

Date of decision: 06.05.2025

Jeet Pati

...Appellant

Versus

Market Committee, Gohana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sood, Advocate for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The appellant-plaintiff had filed a suit for grant of decree for mandatory injunction directing the defendants to restore the services of the plaintiff. The said suit was filed in the year 1993. The said suit was dismissed by the trial Court and the appeal filed by the plaintiff-appellant was also dismissed by the First Appellate Court.

2. A perusal of the paper book would show that no interim order is there in favour of the appellant. Notices were issued by the Registry. None has appeared on behalf of the appellant to inform the Court as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal or not.

3. Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the appellant to revive the same in case any cause survives to her.

4. Registry is directed to send a copy of the present order to the appellant at the address given in the memo of parties.

06.05.2025*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**