



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(202)

RSA-1631-1998 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 19.05.2025

Punjab State Electricity Board

....Appellant

Versus

Kamla Shankar (now deceased) through LRs

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate, for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Respondent-plaintiff had filed a suit for declaration that he was entitled to pay scale of Rs.1640-3000 instead of Rs.1050-2100 w.e.f. 24.01.1986. The suit was filed in the year 1991 and was dismissed and the appeal filed against the same by the respondent/plaintiff was allowed and the judgment of the trial Court was set aside and the suit filed by the respondent-plaintiff was decreed.

2. A perusal of the paper-book would show that on 15.09.1998, the interim order passed in favour of the present appellant was vacated.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that subsequently, the respondent-plaintiff had retired and had even died, thus, the present appeal be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

4. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 19, 2025

naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No