IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1279-2020
Date of decision: - 13.07.2020

Dayanand Sheokand

....Petitioner

Versus

Gian Chand Gupta, Speaker, Haryana Vidhan Sabha, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate with
Ms. Gitanjali Chhabra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

****

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been taken for hearing through video conference due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Present contempt petition has been filed alleging intentional & wilful violation of order dated 26.02.2020 passed by this Court in CWP-7904-2015 titled as Dayanand Sheokand Vs. State of Haryana and others.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that above-said petition filed by the petitioner was allowed and respondents were directed to grant the petitioner salary for the period he was officiating as Deputy Secretary as also to count the same towards his pension and pensionary benefits and the said order was to be complied with within a period of two months.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that respondents have not complied with the directions given by this Court despite the fact

NARESH KUMAR
2020.07.13 15:42
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

that the time period granted by this Court has already elapsed and the respondents are intentionally and willfully disobeying the order passed by this Court dated 26.02.2020 making themselves liable to be proceeded against under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the respondents, submits that the respondents have already filed LPA against the order dated 26.02.2020 (Annexure P-1). Learned State counsel further submits that in case the respondents are not able to get an interim order in their favour within a period of six weeks from today, the order passed by this Court will be implemented in its letter and spirit without any failure.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, recorded above, he does not want to press this contempt petition any further.

Present contempt petition is disposed of having not pressed.

It is made clear that the respondents will be bound by their statement recorded above and any violation of the same will be viewed very seriously, in case brought to the notice of this Court.

July 13, 2020 **(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**
naresh.k **JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes
Whether reportable? No

NARESH KUMAR
2020.07.13 15:42
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh