

269 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33695-2025
Date of decision: 23.07.2025

Darshan Singh alias Kaka

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate and
Mr. Keerat Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jatin Kundu, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Arshpreet Singh Khadial, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
62	21.06.2021	Maur, District Bathinda	302, 452, 506, 148, 149 IPC (201 added later on) and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act

1. The petitioner incarcerated in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was granted interim regular bail vide order dated 21.05.2025 keeping in view his serious health condition and he was to surrender in prison from where he was released on 01.07.2025. Liberty was granted to file fresh petition for regular bail in case the petitioner's health does not improve.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of improving, the health of the petitioner is worsening as his heart is now working only 18% which was 25% earlier and on this ground, he prays for regular bail. Counsel for the petitioner further restrict his prayer for limited period bail.

4. Counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner after release on interim bail never went to the hospital, no treatment was taken and no surgery was done. He further submits that petitioner is main accused with maximum role and minimum custody. At this, petitioner submits that he did not go for the reason that at that time his health was stable and now his health has deteriorated.

5. State counsel opposes the bail, but does not dispute the medical condition of the petitioner.

6. Given the medical condition of the petitioner, he makes out a case for interim regular bail for limited period.

7. Given above, provided the petitioner is not required in any other case, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail in the FIR captioned above subject to furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Court and due to unavailability before any nearest Ilaqa Magistrate/duty Magistrate. Before accepting the surety, the concerned Court must be satisfied that if the accused fails to appear, such surety can produce the accused.

8. While furnishing a personal bond, the petitioner shall mention the following personal identification details:

1.	AADHAR number	
2.	Passport number (If available) and when the attesting officer/court considers it appropriate or considers the accused a flight risk.	
3.	Mobile number (If available)	
4.	E-Mail id (If available)	

9. Any observation made hereinabove is neither an expression of opinion on the merits of the case nor shall the trial Court advert to these comments.

10. The petitioner shall surrender in prison from where he was released on 31.10.2025 at 10 AM.

11. There would be no need for a certified copy of this order for furnishing bonds, and any Advocate for the Petitioner can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.

12. **Petition is allowed to the above extent. It is further clarified that the petitioner shall annex all the medical record of the concerned hospital of the interregnum period duly cross verified from the government hospital doctor with the fresh petition, if filed.**

All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

23.07.2025

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No