



::1::

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

(307)

**CRM-M-2359-2025 (O & M)
Date of Decision:-25.02.2025**

Dinesh @ Vicky

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

The State of Haryana

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate, for the Petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in this petition under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case FIR No.182 dated 08.05.2024 under Sections 420, 120-B, 489-B and 489-E IPC registered at Police Station Butana, District Karnal.

2. The allegations against the petitioner and his co-accused are of cheating the complainant of Rs.3 lacs.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Two co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Banarsi Sah @ Rahul and Nanhe have been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide orders dated 21.01.2025 and 16.12.2024 respectively. As the petitioner was a first-time offender, in custody since 15.05.2024 but none of the 07 prosecution witnesses had been examined so far, the Trial of the present case was not likely to be concluded



::2::

anytime soon and therefore, he was entitled to the concession of bail.

4. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, while referring to the reply/status report dated 10.02.2025 contends that the allegations against the petitioner and his co-accused were serious and therefore, he was not entitled to the concession of bail. He, however, concedes that the petitioner was a first-time offender, in custody since 15.05.2024 but none of the 07 prosecution witnesses had been examined so far and that two of the co-accused had been granted the concession of bail.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, he is a first-time offender, in custody since 15.05.2024 but none of the 07 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, his further incarceration is not required, moreso, when two co-accused have been granted the similar relief.

7. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-Dinesh @ Vicky is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

February 25, 2025

sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No