



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104-III

COCP No.1872 of 2025 (O & M)

Date of decision :-09.07.2025

Ved Parkash and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Anurag Rastogi, Chief Secretary, Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate with
Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Dushyant Saharan, AAG, Haryana assisted by
Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Legal Assistant.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that contempt proceedings be initiated against the respondent(s) for not complying the orders dated 21.10.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP Nos.28426 of 2024 titled as “Ved Parkashand others vs. State of Haryana and others”.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State refers to the order dated 21.5.2025 passed by co-ordinate Bench of this Court in COCP No.1789 of 2025 titled as “Ramkaran and others vs. Anurag Rastogi and others” whereby the petition was disposed of on the statement made by learned counsel for the State to the effect that “....the petitioner would be paid the revised pension in terms of the



revision carried out in pursuance of the order dated 03.8.2023 from the date the stay was granted in the present case i.e. 21.2.2025 and the same would be done subject to the further orders passed in CWP-4971-2025. It is submitted that the arrears on the said account would be released within a period of three weeks from today. It is however submitted that the release of the said amount and the payment every month in pursuance of the orders dated 03.8.2023 should not be construed as an estoppels against the respondents from opposing the writ petition.” Learned counsel for the State undertakes that in terms of the said order dated 21.5.2025, referred above, necessary compliance would be made within three weeks from today.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition any further.

Dismissed as not pressed.

Liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the contempt petition in case the abovesaid undertaking is not complied with by the respondents.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

July 09, 2025

Vijay Asija

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No