



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4759-2025**

**Date of Decision:28.01.2025**

Akhilesh Lahotia @ Akhil Kumar

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and Anr.

...Respondents

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present: Mr. Karambir Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1 The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S for quashing of FIR No. 109, dated 08.08.2024, registered under Sections 420 of IPC and later on added Section 120-B of IPC, Police Station Cyber Crime, Panipat District Panipat (Annexure P-1) and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that there are two accused and only one accused has filed the present petition.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. In view of the judgment passed by the Divison Bench of this Court in the matter of "*Rakesh Dass and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and Anr.*", **decided on 12.11.2024,** this petition is not maintainable, at this stage and dismissed as such.

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)  
JUDGE**

28.01.2025  
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No